FY 18 Annual Report and Review

Stipulation VIII per the

Programmatic Agreement Among the United States Army Garrison,
Yuma Proving Ground, the Arizona State Historic Preservation Officer,
and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding the
Operations, Maintenance, and Development of
Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona



Erin R. Goslin Cultural Resources Manager Yuma Proving Ground

November 29, 2018

Per the Programmatic Agreement Among the United States Army Garrison, Yuma Proving Ground, the Arizona State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding the Operations, Maintenance, and Development of Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona (Nov 2014) (PA) the United States Army Garrison Yuma Proving Ground (USAG YPG) is to prepare an annual report and distribute it to all Consulting Parties, except the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), within 75 days of each new fiscal year [beginning] in Fiscal Year 2016. This document provides responses to Stipulation VIII of the PA (Annual Report and Review).

1. A list of negative survey reports produced;

Reference	Author,	Report Title
Number	Year	
YPG-R-277	Goslin	Archaeological Survey of Approximately 3.0 Acres for the
	(2017)	Proposed Temporary Overflow Parking on the Laguna Range of
		U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground, Yuma County, Arizona
YPG-R-278	Goslin	Archaeological Survey of Approximately 28 Acres for a Proposed
	(2017)	Temporary Pronghorn Pen on the Kofa Range of U.S. Army Yuma
		Proving Ground, Yuma County, Arizona
YPG-R-279	Goslin	Archaeological Survey of Approximately 27.5 Acres to relocate
	(2017)	Range Infrastructure on the Kofa Range of U.S. Army Yuma
		Proving Ground, Yuma County, Arizona
YPG-R-280	Schlegel	A Class III Cultural Resources Survey of 37.67 Acres for a
	(2017)	Simulated Large Support Complex/Compound on U.S. Army
		Garrison, Yuma Proving Grounds

2a. A list of surveys with identified cultural resources;

Reference	Author,	Report Title
Number	Year	
YPG-R-250	Schlegel	Class II Reconnaissance Survey and National Register of Historic
	(2017)	Places Evaluation for Priority Closure of Eleven Historic Mines
		Located on U.S. Army Garrison, Yuma Proving Ground

2b. A list of site condition assessments;

There were no site condition assessments conducted on USAG YPG during fiscal year 2018.

3. A list of newly identified historic properties (updated Attachment B);

Below are the 4 newly identified historic properties. An updated Attachment B is provided at the end of this report.

Individually Eligible Sites				
Site Number	Primary Site Type	SHPO Concurrence		
AZ R:7:92(ASM)	Copper Giant Mine	SHPO 2017-1533(14044)		
AZ R:7:149(ASM)	Cinnabar Mine	SHPO 2017-1533(14044)		
AZ R:7:266(ASM)	Black Diamond Mine	SHPO 2017-1533(14044)		
AZ X:3:726(ASM)	AZFGD Mine Site 16a	SHPO 2017-1533(14044)		

4. A list of undertakings that were reviewed but had no effect on historic properties;

Reference	Author,	Report Title
Number	Year	
YPG-R-277	Goslin	Archaeological Survey of Approximately 3.0 Acres for the
	(2017)	Proposed Temporary Overflow Parking on the Laguna Range of
		U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground, Yuma County, Arizona
YPG-R-278	Goslin	Archaeological Survey of Approximately 28 Acres for a Proposed
	(2017)	Temporary Pronghorn Pen on the Kofa Range of U.S. Army Yuma
		Proving Ground, Yuma County, Arizona
YPG-R-279	Goslin	Archaeological Survey of Approximately 27.5 Acres to relocate
	(2017)	Range Infrastructure on the Kofa Range of U.S. Army Yuma
		Proving Ground, Yuma County, Arizona
YPG-R-280	Schlegel	A Class III Cultural Resources Survey of 37.67 Acres for a
	(2017)	Simulated Large Support Complex/Compound on U.S. Army
		Garrison, Yuma Proving Grounds

5. A list of undertakings that were reviewed but had no adverse effect on historic properties;

Reference	Author,	Report Title
Number	Year	
YPG-R-250	Schlegel	Class II Reconnaissance Survey and National Register of Historic
	(2017)	Places Evaluation for Priority Closure of Eleven Historic Mines
		Located on U.S. Army Garrison, Yuma Proving Ground

6. A list of undertakings that had an adverse effect on historic properties along with their mitigation;

There were no undertakings that had an adverse effect on any historic properties on USAG YPG during fiscal year 2018.

7. A list of all inadvertent discoveries;

There were no undertakings on USAG YPG that had an inadvertent discovery during fiscal year 2018.

8. Proposed USAG YPG cultural resources program activities or changes;

In Feb 2018, a UAV crash was reported that hit a t-post demarcating an eligible site. The UAV clean up did not intrude upon the boundaries of the archaeological site; instead, were confined to outside the fenced area or just inside the large fenced buffer. No damage was done to this archaeological site.

White Tanks continues to be monitored on a quarterly basis. Due to the game cameras emplaced at the two gates, we continue to deter trespassers into the archaeological management area.

Conservation Police Officer Nieto has discovered and prosecuted trespasses that occurred on USAG YPG. No archaeological sites or artifacts were damaged.

Erin Goslin was hired as the USAG YPG Cultural Resources Manager. The GS11 archaeologist position is estimated to be backfilled in FY19.

9. A list of any newly proposed undertakings; and

There is one Environmental Assessment that is currently underway. It is the Long Range Procession Fire, aka ERCA II, shot that is a joint effort between USAG YPG, MCAS-Yuma, and Luke Air Force Base to test utilizing the Barry M. Goldwater Range.

There are an additional two potential Environmental Assessments that will be undertaken this fiscal year. One is to expand an existing Impact Area into a historic impact area. The second is to build some Observation Berms to better utilize extant Impact Areas. No other projects are known at this time.

10. Any changes USAG YPG might consider toward improvement in implementation of any stipulations.

We continue to work with Mission to further identify Contaminated Areas, (e.g., historical contamination, historic impact areas, and other contaminated areas) ahead of any archaeological survey. Once these areas have been officially and spatially defined, we will appropriately update Attachments C, D, and G per the PA.